## Exhibit C



ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 MARSH ROAD MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025 tel 650-614-7400 fax 650-614-7401 WWW.ORRICK.COM

May 23, 2007

G. Hopkins Guy, III (650) 614-7452 hopguy@orrick.com

VIA FACSIMILE

Howard Pollack Michael R. Headley Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94036

Re: Power Integrations v. Fairchild Semiconductor et al. (CA 04-1371 JJF)

Dear Howard and Michael:

We received your proposed schedule yesterday regarding August trial dates and have been checking on availability of all witnesses for those weeks. We have also considered your proposal to have the inequitable conduct hearing immediately follow the validity trial. All witnesses are available during the week of August 13. Your proposal this morning to make Dr. Eklund available for deposition four days from now, this Sunday, in Sweden is frankly an impossible schedule to meet given the travel requirements and proximity to trial. This is exactly the kind of prejudice that Fairchild should not be subjected to on the eve of trial and ignores the likely need to revise expert reports or to conduct other discovery that has been caused by the newly produced documentation by Dr. Eklund or Dr. Eklund's deposition. You continue to ignore the fact that the late production of Dr. Eklund's notes raises other issues in addition to the need for his deposition. Moreover, we took you at your word that a deposition prior to June 3rd was impossible -- now with the trial date continued it suddenly isn't impossible.

We will accept your May 22 proposal to continue the trial date until the week of August 13, subject to the Court's availability, take Dr. Eklund's deposition during the week of June 4 and offer the deposition of Mr. Beasom the week of June 18. I hope that the depositions can be conducted in California at our offices in Menlo Park and Redwood City, respectively. We also require that originals of all of Dr. Eklund's newly produced documents be produced at least a day before the deposition as well as originals of PX-29 and PX-30 and any other exhibit Power Integrations intends to use at trial. We also accept the proposal that any evidentiary hearing regarding inequitable conduct before the Court immediately follow the



Howard Pollack May 23, 2007 Page 2

completion of the invalidity trial during the same week. Assuming that we have an agreement, we should discuss whether a joint proposal or stipulation would be more appropriate for filing on May 31.

Sincerely

G. Hopkins Guy,

05/23/2007 11:13 FAX 650 614 7401

ORRICK SILICON VALLEY

Ø 001

Case 1:04-cv-01371-JJF

Document 486-4

Filed 05/23/2007

Page 4 of 4

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

0145

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

1491#10414#25#8395071

DESTINATION ID

05/23 11:12

ST. TIME TIME USE

00'59

PAGES SENT

3

RESULT

OK

ORRICK

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 MARSH ROAD

MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025

tel 650-614-7400 fax 650-614-7401 WWW.ORRICK.COM

FAX TRANSMISSION

DATE May 23, 2007

NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET)

3

FROM

name

tel

G. Hopkins Guy, III

650-614-7452

TO

name

company/firm

tel

Fax

Howard Pollack

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

650.839.5071

CC

Michael R. Headley

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

650.839.5071

RE

Power Integrations v. Fairchild Semiconductor et al

MESSAGE

Please see attached.